

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

THE THOMPSONS FILM, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

DOES 1 - 194,

Defendants.

Case No. C13-0560RSL

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND
QUASHING SUBPOENAS

This action was filed on March 28, 2013. It is one of fifty-one copyright infringement actions filed during a four month period in this district against approximately 2,773 individual Doe defendants. The 194 defendants in this case are represented by IP addresses linked to on-line sharing of the movie “The Thompsons” between 2:47 am on December 2, 2012, and 11:00 am on March 1, 2013. Although the evidence of internet activity shows that hours, if not days, separated each defendant’s allegedly infringing conduct, plaintiff alleges that all 194 defendants participated in a single “swarm” utilizing interactive peer-to-peer file transfer technology protocol called a BitTorrent to illegally copy and share “The Thompsons.” Plaintiff affirmatively alleges that the “swarm” aspect of the file-sharing justifies joinder of these disparate defendants in a single lawsuit.

1 On April 1, 2013, the Court granted plaintiff's motion to initiate early
2 discovery, including the issuance of subpoenas under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 to internet
3 service providers, in an attempt to identify each Doe defendant. No defendants have filed
4 objections to the subpoena. Despite the fact that more than five weeks have elapsed since
5 discovery was authorized, there is no indication that any defendants have been served, nor
6 has plaintiff amended its complaint to identify the Doe defendants.

7 All BitTorrent cases filed in the Western District of Washington have been
8 referred to the undersigned for pretrial handling. As the full extent of this assignment has
9 become clear, the Court admits to some concerns regarding both the appropriateness of
10 joinder and the possibility that the judicial authority of the United States may be used to
11 wrest improvident settlements from pro se litigants under threat of huge statutory
12 penalties. The Court is not alone: other judicial officers in the Ninth Circuit are beset by
13 the same concerns and have taken various paths to mitigate the potential for abuse. See,
14 e.g., Ingenuity 13 LLC v. John Doe, No. 2:12-cv-9333-ODW(JCx) (C.D. Cal. May 6,
15 2013); Voltage Pictures, LLC v. Does 1-12, No. 2:13-292-AA (D. Or. May 4, 2013).

16 Having reviewed the pending motions to quash, the remainder of the record
17 in this and related cases, and relevant case law, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

18 1. Any and all subpoenas issued in the above-captioned matter are hereby
19 QUASHED. Plaintiff shall immediately notify the subpoena recipients that they need not
20 respond.

21 2. To the extent plaintiff has obtained identifying information regarding one or
22 more Doe defendants, whether through the service provider, defendant, or another source,
23 it shall not utilize that information in any way. If plaintiff has already contacted one or
24 more of the defendants, it shall file under seal all correspondence or other written
25 communications (including emails) sent to defendants and a summary of any oral
26

1 communications. Plaintiff shall refrain from any further oral or written communications
2 with defendants unless expressly approved by the Court in advance.

3 3. Plaintiff shall, within fourteen days of the date of this Order, show cause why
4 the above-captioned matter should not be dismissed as to all defendants other than Doe 1
5 for improper joinder and/or pursuant to the Court's inherent authority to control its
6 docket.

7 4. With the exception of the actions specifically set forth in this Order, the above-
8 captioned matter is hereby STAYED.

9
10 The Clerk of Court is directed to place this Order to Show Cause on the
11 Court's calendar for Friday, May 24, 2013.

12
13 Dated this 9th day of May, 2013.

14 

15 Robert S. Lasnik
16 United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26